# Eddy driven ventilation in the Arabian Sea

Zouhair Lachkar (NYUAD) Shafer Smith (Courant/NYU)

> SYNBIOS Paris / July 2015



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

ABU DHABI

# Outline

- Why the Arabian Sea?
- Project: Effects of changing monsoonal winds on Arabian Sea biogeochemistry, focus on oxygen
- Dynamics of oxygen in the oceans and the AS
- ROMS simulations of the AS
- Speculation

# What makes the Arabian Sea unique?

- Extreme seasonality & complex dynamics (monsoon reversal, coastal upwelling, offshore advection, winter convection, eddies,...)
- Among the most productive (> 300 gC m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)
- 2/3 of dust deposited in ocean → Indian Ocean
- Thickest Oxygen Minimum Zone (150-1200m)
- Largest suboxic zone
- 1/2 of global N loss due to denitrification and anammox
- A globally significant source of N<sub>2</sub>O (nitrous oxide)
- Potential to modulate climate on geological timescales

# Chlorophyll from ECCO2



Williams & Follows 2007

# Coastal upwelling systems

#### Annual mean surface chlorophyll from SeaWiFS



# Coastal upwelling systems

#### Arabian Sea: the only western boundary upwelling system



# Arabian Sea: Two blooms per year!

28°N

24°N

#### Summer

Winter



Summer monsoon winds drives upwelling along Somali coast 20\*N 16\*N 12\*N 40\*E 50\*E 60\*E 70\*E 60\*E 70\*E

> Winter monsoon winds drives convection at north of basin

> > Ocean color from SeaWifs

# Oxygen minimum zones (OMZs)

Generally in eastern boundaries, with one exception: Indian Ocean OMZ - northeast of basin



# Ocean deoxygenation: the global perspective Warming is causing the ocean to lose oxygen



The ocean outgassing trend is larger than expected based on the solubility only

# Oxygen: biological effects



# Oxygen: production & respiration & ventilation



made with Adobe Illustrator™

# Indian Ocean: most isopycnals unventilated



30

25

20

15

10

5

Tpot-0 [°C]



Indian Ocean

# Indian Ocean: ... less ventilated



# In O<sub>2</sub>-depleted water: denitrification



Denitrification in Arabian Sea → 30-50% global loss of fixed N

# The Monsoon — Arabian Sea climate feedback

# Observations & models → recent and future monsoon strengthening?



Sandeep and Ajayamohan, submitted

# The Monsoon – Arabian Sea climate feedback

Paleo data → high sensitivity of Arabian Sea OMZ to NH climate variations



**Regional Oceanic Modeling System (ROMS) Resolution:** 1/3, 1/6, 1/12, 1/24 deg, 32 levels **Atmospheric forcing:** COADS, QuikSCAT Varied winds: 0.5x, 1x, 1.5x **Boundary conditions:** SODA reanalysis 30°N W/ & W/O Marginal Seas **Climatological runs (12 year) Biological model:** NPZD, N<sup>3</sup>P<sup>2</sup>Z<sup>2</sup>D<sup>2</sup>, BioEBUS

#### **Run on NYUAD Butinah cluster**

20°N -

0°



# Model setup



# (Simple) biogeochemistry model NPZD + O<sub>2</sub> + Denitrification



# Model vs. observations: surface temperature



# Model vs. observations: surface salinity



# Model fields (1/24°) — surface

CHL

SST

January



#### Model fields (1/24°) — sections at 17N



What happens when winds are reduced or increased?

Biogeochemical response to changes in monsoon intensity

Production: scales linearly with perturbation Hypoxic volume: smaller response



Relative change (%)

#### Depth of hypoxic boundary with increased winds





1/12º runs

#### New primary production with increased winds

Scales linearly with wind perturbation (intensification of upwelling)



# What happens when resolution is systematically increased?





# O<sub>2</sub> at 100m



#### Denitrifcation rate (mol N m<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)

N<sup>2</sup>PZD<sup>2</sup>



#### Denitrifcation induced NPP reduction (mol C m<sup>2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) N<sup>2</sup>PZD<sup>2</sup>





Difference in PP between simulations with O<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> coupled and uncoupled. With nitrate decoupled, denitrification cannot reduce PP. Moreover, because it's effect is bigger at low resolution there's a bigger decrease at low resolution

# Changes to PP and O<sub>2</sub> with increased resolution

In addition to changes in denitrification, increasing resolution from 1/3° to 1/12°:

- Moves OMZ downward but volume doesn't increase
- Increases PP monotonically

Increasing resolution from 1/12° to 1/24°:

- Doesn't change PP
- Decreases volume of OMZ

No slides :(

#### Implications

Increasing resolution from 1/3° to 1/12°:

- Ventilation increases with resolution, decreasing denitrification, thus increasing PP
- ... but the increased respiration and increased ventilation compensate in their effect on oxygen, so there's no change in total OMZ volume.

Increasing resolution from 1/12° to 1/24°:

 As submesoscales start to be resolved, something changes: PP does not increase, and the OMZ decreases. We are trying to understand why.

# Hypotheses

- 1. As submesoscales are resolved, the active mixING layer increases in depth, and exceeds the depth of the euphotic zone, which decreases the net PP.
- 2. An asymmetry in the submesoscale vertical fluxes\* allows ventilation to increase without a corresponding increase in the flux of nitrate to the surface layers, even though there's more nitrate at depth b/c of decreased denitrification.

\* This is perhaps consistent with Gula et al. (2014): cold filaments, with strong downward ageostrophic vertical velocities and diapycnal mixing, are more common than warm filaments. See also Hakim et al. (2001) and Lapeyre et al. (2006)

#### Gula, Molemaker & McWilliams (2014 JPO)





# MixING layer depths

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

MLD deepens as resolution increases, then shallows at highest resolution: submesoscale restratification?

# Vertical velocities (1/24°)

100 m

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

January

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

**50 m** 

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Histogram of <w'O<sub>2</sub>'> at 200m

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)